| | то | : | Office of the General Counsel Attention: | DATE: | 4 November 1954 |
|------|-----------|------|--|-------|-----------------|
| 25X1 | from A | : | Acting Chief, Finance Division | | |
| | SUBJEC' | r: [| - Contract Consultant | | |

OGC Has Reviewed

- 1. It has been brought to the attention of this office that subject individual is an annuant and there is a question of whether employment by this Agency constitutes dual compensation. Your assistance is requested to determine whether his employment as a consultant is dual compensation or not.
- 2. Enclosed is a photostatic copy of Contract Number P-315-52, dated 15 January 1952 appointing subject as a consultant at \$50.00 per day. Attention is directed to part I, f, and g of the contract form which indicated that the individual is not a retired civilian or officer of the Armed Services. This was completed in this manner because of lack of information on the SF 61, Appointment Affidavit.
- 3. A further check by the Deputy Assistant Director for Personnel revealed that subject retired as a Foreign Service Officer (State) in 1945 and received an annuity of \$5245.52 per annum. This was increased to \$5476.32 per annum in July 1952.
- 4. The contract has been renewed for succeeding years and is currently in force through 30 June 1955. Over the period of time subject has been under contract he has received payment for services as follows:

| 16, 17, 20, 21 January 1952 | 4 | days |
|-----------------------------|---|------|
| 21 and 22 May 1952 | 2 | days |
| 24 and 25 May 1952 | 2 | days |
| 10 and 11 June 1952 | 2 | days |
| 30 September 1952 | 1 | day |
| 1 and 2 October 1952 | 2 | days |
| 4 and 5 February 1953 | 2 | days |

Total - 15 days at \$50.00 per day

5. Please have this case reviewed to determine whether subject's employment is or is not dual compensation and whether any action is required.

| 25X1A | | |
|------------------|--|--|
| 23/1/ | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |